

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,025	02/25/2004	Jack Nilsson	200106.5	3892
21324	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER	
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			CABUCOS, MARIE G	
One GOJO Pla Suite 300	aza		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH	AKRON, OH 44311-1076		2821	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asking Commence	10/787,025	NILSSON, JACK	(sm			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marie Antoinette Cabucos	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replectif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron t, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comn ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the m	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-53</u> is/are withdray						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-5,9-13,15,16,19-22,26 and 28-30 is	s/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 6-8,14,17,18,23-25,27,31-35 is/are o	bjected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> is/ar		ed to by the Examiner	•.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-	·152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			age			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Jale				

Application/Control Number: 10/787,025 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of invention I in the reply filed on 5/31/2005 is acknowledged. Applicant's arguments on the Restriction Requirement filed on 4/25/2005, with respect to invention II have been fully considered and are found persuasive. The Restriction Requirement of invention II has been withdrawn.

Claims 36-53 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said apex point" in line 2 of claim 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 10, 12, 15, 22 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohira et al (6,407,719).

Application/Control Number: 10/787,025

Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

Claims 1, 3 and 15, Ohira discloses an antenna comprising at least one parasitic reflector element (6) having a first end and a second end; at least one parasitic director element (A1-A6) having a first end and a second end; a driven element (A1-A6) positioned co-linearly with and between said at least one reflector element and said at least one director element; and an electrically conductive ground plane (11) being electrically connected to said at least one reflector element and said at least one director element at said second ends, and being electrically isolated from said driven element. (Refer to figures 1-4 and column 4, lines 55-57 of the prior art.)

Claims 5 and 22, Ohira further discloses an antenna comprising an electrical connector to allow connection of said driven element and said ground plane to a transmission line (col. 3, lines 20-25).

Claims 10, 12 and 29, Ohira further discloses an antenna wherein said reflector element and said at least one director elements are substantially linear and comprising a reflector plate being positioned at a reflector element end of said ground plane, being substantially perpendicular to said ground plane(11) as seen in figures 1-4 of the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,025

Art Unit: 2821

Claims 2, 9, 11, 13, 16, 19-21, 26, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohira in view of Sharp et al (5,990,845).

Ohira discloses all the claim elements as set forth above, except for the radiative members being electrically connected at an apex point disposed outwardly form said apex point. Sharp teaches of an antenna with radiative members electrically connected at an apex point as shown in figure 3c of the prior art (also refer to col.2, lines 39-41). It would have been obvious by one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to manufacture the antenna of Ohira with the radiative members of Sharp so as to increase the antenna's ability to receive and transmit signals in a particular direction.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8, 14, 17, 18, 23-25, 27 and 31-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 6-8, 14 and 23-25, limitation of ground plane comprising a first electrically conductive sheet having a width of about 1/4 wavelength of a tuned radio frequency.

Claims 17 and 18, limitation of antenna further comprising adjusting said initial lengths of at least one of said at least one reflector element, said driven element, and said at least one director element based on a diameter of at least one of said elements.

Claim 27, limitation wherein predetermined frequency is substantially different for each of radiative members.

Claims 31-35, limitation of antenna wherein a first spacing between a first odd numbered director element Dodd of said at least one director element and an adjacent even numbered director element Dodd-I of said at least one director element is greater than a second spacing between said even numbered director element Dodd-I and an adjacent second odd numbered director element Dodd-z of said at least one director element. Also limitation of antenna wherein a first difference in length between a first odd numbered director element Dodd of said at least one director element and an adjacent even numbered director element Dodd-I of said at least one director element is less than one-half a second difference in length between a second odd numbered director element Dodd-z of said at least one director element and said adjacent even numbered director element Dodd-I.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patent of Guegen (3,846,799) teaches a similar device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marie Antoinette Cabucos whose telephone number is 571-272-8582. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,025 Page 6

Art Unit: 2821

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marie Antoinette Cabucos 6/20/2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800